UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,568	01/25/2007	Joachim Bruchlos	DE920030038US1	7936	
25259 IBM CORPOR	7590 02/06/200 ATION	EXAMINER			
3039 CORNWA		RAVETTI, DANTE			
	DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3685	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/06/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

RSWIPLAW@us.ibm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/596,568	BRUCHLOS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANTE RAVETTI	3685				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ja</u>	nuarv 2007.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 21-40 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 January 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.6. § 115(a)	-(d) Of (f).				
·— ·—	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None or. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
		on No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	4) T Inton 1- 2	(DTO 442)				
1)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

- 1. This communication is in response to the original Application No. 10/596568 filed on January 25, 2007.
- 2. Claims 1-20 have been canceled by the Applicant.
- 3. Claims 21-40 are currently pending.

Election/Restriction

4. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. §121 and §372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 21-29 and 35-40 are drawn to licensing, classified in Class 705, Subclass 59;
- II. Claims 30-34 are drawn to computer, classified in Class 708, Subclass 100;
- 5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:
- 6. Inventions I through II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has

Application/Control Number: 10/596,568 Page 3

Art Unit: 3685

separate utility such as licensing, subcombination II has a separate utility such as computers. See MPEP §806.05(d).

- 7. The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where Applicants elects a subcombination and claims thereto as subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR §1.104. See MPEP §821.04(a).
- 8. Because the inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the invention have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the invention require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
 - (e) the invention are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. §101 and/or 35 U.S.C. §112, 1st paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,568 Page 4

Art Unit: 3685

10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143) and (ii) Identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 11. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a rights to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversals must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.
- 12. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.
- 13. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In their instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) of the other invention.
- 14. A telephone call was not made.

Art Unit: 3685

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Dante Ravetti whose telephone number is (571) 270-3609. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Calvin Hewitt may be reached at (571) 272-6709. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 270-4609.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system see http://pair-direct,uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 1-(866) 217-9197. If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 1-(800) 786-9199 (IN USA or CANADA) or 1-(571) 272-1000.

/Dante Ravetti/ Examiner, Art Unit 3685 Friday, January 30, 2009

/Calvin L Hewitt II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3685